

A Realistic Redirection of the Theory of the Firm:

The Relevance of Averages, Marginals and Subjective Adjustments

¹Mario E. Delgado, MS, MBA, ²*Pedro F. Pellet, Ph.D., ³Mike Ryan

¹Professor, PO Box 907953, Gainesville, GA 30501, USA

²H. Wayne Huizenga School of Business and Entrepreneurship, Nova Southeastern University, 3301 College Avenue, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33314, USA.
Phones: (310) 305-8249 (Mobile), (954) 262-5106 (Office);
Email: pellet@huizenga.nova.edu *Corresponding author

³Professor, Department Head – Economics & Finance, University of North Georgia, PO Box 1358, Gainesville, FL 30503, U.S.A.

Abstract

Economic decisions made by producers, and for that matter by economic agents, in general, are infrequently made at the margin and even less, if at all, from moves made over known and factual continuous functions. Decisions are mostly based on averages derived from approximations of discontinuous measurements and combined with expected changes; many of which are subjective in nature. Economic theories dependent on the “marginality principle” or concept end up being like a game of virtual reality. Such games trap the participants in a tightly and rationally woven but surreal landscape, structured with fictional interdependencies as well. They make the players feel assured in their game-based steps, but also unsettled within by the obvious but side-stepped conceptual dissonances.

Introduction

Microeconomic decisions made by managers, and for that matter by economic agents, in general, are infrequently made at the margin and even less, if at all, from moves made over known and factual continuous functions. Those decisions are mostly based on averages derived from approximations of discontinuous or static measurements and combined with expected changes; many of which are mostly subjective in nature. Economic theories dependent on the “marginality principle” or concept, developed by Carl Menger in Austria and William Stanley Jevons in England, by the middle and second half of the XIX century, end up being like a game of virtual reality. Such games trap the participants in a tightly and rationally woven but surreal landscape, structured with fictional interdependencies as well. They make the players feel assured in their game-based steps, but also unsettled within by the obvious but side-stepped conceptual dissonances.

By looking at a firm's supply function as being derived from continuous production and cost functions, and from producers' natural inclination towards technical and economic efficiency and total profit maximization, is at best a leap of faith. Such unreal beliefs ignore the intermittent but frequent adjustments to production cost factors demanded by ever changing operational needs and the subjective elements in decision making.

How are the real supply functions determined?

Supply functions are determined after the facts and not a priori. They are the summation of historic and/or expected data points of product prices with their corresponding average total costs (ATC) at each respective output level being contemplated.

They are not derived from continuous, nor theoretical functions; a firm's real supply function is not derived from the increasing segment of a known and continuous marginal cost (MC) function

above and beyond the interception with the average variable cost (AVC) curve.

By extension, it is not points on a marginal cost curve, either above the related minimum tangencies with the average total cost curve (MATC) or the average variable cost (AVC) curves. The actual supply curve for a typical producer is the aggregate of the ATC values from accumulated step increases of increments in output established internally by the firm. The specific supplied output is in turn determined at the point where the attained product price (P) equates to the applicable ATC value.

Also, experienced firms know that they can readily and covertly to the competition, maintain if not increase their profit margins at higher outputs by increasing the internal efficiency of their operations. This will allow them to retain their profitable P=ATC for longer production runs without having to address MC concerns in both the short and long terms.

What are the firm's actual decision rules?

First, producers and consumers do not know, or care to know, the current theories' (Consumer and Producer Behavior) speculated continuities of their respective Demand and Supply functions. As a result such hypothetical continuities are irrelevant to their actual total profit maximizing decision rules. Further, discriminating producers and consumers are also aware of the irrelevance of the axioms underpinning the respective theories (Consumer and Producer Behavior) and the resulting unrealistic outcomes.

Second, changes in product and resource prices do impact the ultimate quantities offered and demanded. However, this is not the same as saying that there are, or ought to be, continuous demand and supply functions, with corresponding price and quantity combinations, as well as clearly demarcated total profit maximizing equilibrium point.

Third, producers recognize that at batches of output where $P > ATC$, economic profits will be achieved as long as the applicable ATC figure includes both explicit and implicit costs.

Moreover, producers are also aware that even at outputs where $P < ATC$, and opposite of the standard total profit maximizing output where $P = MR = MC$, positive economic profits are also achievable. They know that the turnover component (Sales/Assets) of the detailed total

profit equation ($\text{Sales}/\text{Assets} \times \text{Net Income}/\text{Sales}$) allows for a decrease in net income from an output where $\text{MC} > P$ as long as the turnover components increases enough to prevent a loss. Furthermore, producers also realize that higher market shares from selling to new customers even at a loss, can be a short lived event and may ultimately represent a profitable undertaking. They know through experience that the more and longer existing and additional customers become familiar with their product, the higher and sooner the possible outward shift in demand. Demand determinants such as the demonstration effect, taste solidification, and buzz marketing will sustain higher sales at the existing or even higher prices.

Fourth, the higher the output sold the greater and more sophisticated the capital utilized in production becomes, even in the short run. This is so because of the immediate incentives from cost reductions, and from the exposure to the technical opportunities envisioned while processing the higher outputs. Therefore, the long run average total cost (LRATC) for distinct output batches becomes a reality sooner than traditionally theorized.

These adaptations in production naturally increase productivity and lower the corresponding ATC and MC values, in turn inducing a virtuous cycle of productivity and profitability.

Conclusion

As long as $P > ATC$ (as indicated on the Third point above), individual firms will gradually continue expanding their output until reaching their profit optimizing point at $P = ATC$. In addition, the greater the firm's elasticity of demand becomes (should increase with gains in market share), the more profitable each move to expand output also becomes. That is, the longer the amount of output and the more profitable each expansion becomes until $P = ATC$. In other words, the longer the concave segment of the ATC curve becomes at any given price level.

Furthermore, the LRATC amounts are not the envelope of all the minimum short run average total cost (SRATC) points. The LRATC is the combination of the connecting points between the intersections of the product price at all possible output mixes where it equates the various SRATC at $P = ATC$.

Finally, the concept of marginal revenue (MR) is not a relevant issue for any firm as it is based on foregone and also unknown market price and quantity sets from indeterminate demand functions. Ultimately, total profit maximization is achieved without economic efficiency from an engineering standpoint. Managers do attempt to maximize total profits, but only after they and their subordinates in authority have adjusted the firm's operations to fit their individual personal preferences. Suppliers and firm employees do the same as long as they can get away with it. In essence, the only continuity is the ongoing and erratic discontinuity constantly materializing within a firm's production processes.

Bibliography

- Delgado, Mario E., "In the Kill Zone or on High Ground"- The NE Georgia Regional Economy. Position paper presented to Georgia Mountains Regional Development Center (GMRDC), Gainesville, GA., December 4th, 2002.
- Delgado, Mario E., "Business Mortality Rates and Urban Congestion" Essay prepared for Latin American Business and Professional Association (LABPA), Naples, FL., July 15th, 2002.
- Pellet, Pedro F. and Delgado, Mario E.; "Regional Disfiguration (A Synopsis)"; May 27th, 2002.
- Pellet, Pedro F. and Ahmed, Mohammed "An Analysis of Financial Crisis in the U.S. Financial Service Industry". Presented at the Third International Conference Emerging Markets, January 4th-5th, 2010.
- Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India
- Pellet, Pedro F. and Ruiz, Angel Luis, "Economic Interdependence and Input-Output Theory". Presented at the *International Academy of Business and Public Administration Disciplines*. Memphis, Tennessee, October 23rd, 2009.
- Reischl, J. N., Cavico, F. J., Mujtaba, B. G., & Pellet, P. F. "The Quest for Logia Psyche in Business Leader-ship: An Empirical Study of Cognitive Moral Development in Construction Industry Dyads". Journal of Leadership Studies, Vol. 3, No. 4, 2010.
- Pellet, Pedro F., Ahmed, Mohammed and Mujtaba, Bahaudin. "A Model for Formulating a New Product Development Strategy in a High-Intensity Industry," International Academy of Business and Management, January 25-27, 2010, Las Vegas, Nevada.
- Pellet, Pedro F., Ruiz, Angel Luis, and Fahrrabah, Massoud, "Historical Evolution of Economic Interdependence: An Input-Output Approach". African International Academy of Business and Management, May 18-22th, 2010. Lagos, Nigeria.
- Pellet, Pedro F. and Ahmed, Mohammed, "A Model for Sustainable Economic Growth and Technological Development in Africa". Presented at the Global Finance Association Conference and published in the GFAC Journal, June 27th-29th, 2010. Poznan, Poland.
- Pellet, Pedro F. and Delgado, Mario E., "Industrial Intelligence and Dynamic Factor Fit Analysis: A Private Sector Led Industrial Policy Framework"; Spring 2008, Vol.4 No.1, Inter Metro Business Journal, Inter American University of Puerto Rico.
- Pellet, Pedro F., "Liderazgo de Excelencia: Proceso Clave en el Desarrollo Organizacional y Formas de Trabajo en Equipo en el Marco de una Dirección Participativa (Leadership and Teamwork)." Presentation to a group of students and professors of the Universidad Equinoccial del Ecuador, at the Miami Dade College, Miami, Florida, May 21st, 2014.
- Pellet, Pedro F., "Cuba's Development Index (HDI)" Refereed Paper with Professor Emeritus, Irma Tirado de Alonso, Ph.D., Florida International University, Professor Antonio A. Fernández, M.D., Barry University and Professor María I. Méndez, M.B.A., Miami-Dade College. Presented at the International Academy of Business and Public Administration Disciplines. Madrid, Spain, July 24th, 2014.

Pellet, Pedro F., "Classical Sourcing of Spillover Costs: A Misdirected Determination of Cause?" Refereed Paper with Professor Mario E. Delgado, M.A., M.B.A., USDA and Professor Antonio A. Fernández, M.D., Barry University. Presented at the International Academy of Business and Public Administration Disciplines. Madrid, Spain, July 25th, 2014.

Pellet, Pedro F., "Check 21 and M1. Is anyone worried about it? Refereed Paper with Professor Rolando M. Ochoa, D.B.A., Miami-Dade College Barry of Business. Presented at the 6th International Conference on Education and Information Management. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia, September 26th to 28th, 2014.

Samuelson, Paul A. and William D. Nordhaus, Microeconomics, 19th Edition, McGraw-Hill, 2010.

Thomas, Christopher and Charles Maurice, Managerial Economics, 10th International Edition, McGraw-Hill Higher Education, 2015.